

Marxism and Leftism – What is the Process in a Party? After May 68 and Mao Zedong's Cultural Revolution, I even add a New Set of Theses for Sirohi and I argue that Sirohianism is based on Grassroot politics. I merge a number of theses from 1968 -2024 – What is called Juventud y Rebellion, Dormir sin la Tierra and even Party-Form Debates and Sartreanism and Hegel with of course a formalism on the Process of Diaries and even a Demand – can we return to the Party in Mexico or in China or even in India, as Trotskyist Debate on the Party – Does the Party Exist for the CC and PB or is it for young Political Actores, and finally what is Slovenian Marxism (2024 edited) and what can be done for PCF, PCE and PCA.

By Jose Revueltas

I. Sartre and Now Shifted to Sirohi – Exactly how I would like the debate

1968 – 79 – a lot of theses on May 68 processes in Mexico, complete anarchism of the youthful Maoists I meant in Sartreanism which is about practical inertia they felt in a locked up state in hostels – now I shift to Sirohi, a practico-inerte of the praxis with Maoism in India under Charu Mazumdar – no inspiration of youth movements of Maoist praxis or even inventive party forms, or finally anything other than mass lines of even Sirohi.

I meant practico-inerte in that 68 – 79 period, that mass line is also a form of dogmatic dialectic, there is no reflection even if Sirohi was to be implemented – we died because the Sirohian theory of medicine was inert and practical problems with I add critical and monist language was not useful – Sirohi means medicines and praxis can be used together which is our point then.

I also mean the CPM died, all of us died and practical processes of grassroot democracies failed, with youth being uninspiring, the same happened with CPIML Liberation in India, what is this Charu, no one there till Sirohi comes and handles everything alone? What about Mao?

Presently the same for Sirohi, but he has inspired 200 feminists and philosophers.

II. Sirohi and his recent Existentialism and Marxism – what is the real process of Trotskyism and for Aldo Raine – I agree he with Jamal is the most important but what about the most complete man of the 21st century – how do we struggle for his psychoanalytic praxis and MLM and Trotskyism – what is the real engagement with Indian Communism – I see China busy neglecting the mass line and Cuba inspiring mass protest but calling it off as fused groups which will become serialized in Sirohi's sense.

1990 – 2024 – I am now alive, intermittently for these years and finally fully in 2020, and then only Sirohi remembers or researches as always – I ask for Aldo Raine to explain what we would do – we have praxis and medicine as guiding lines forever but we are busy following painting analysis which I like, but it is not needed, be organic.

Party form – actors in fact political actors like Sunny and Nayan.

They will go to the people and in fact the working class and co-research and give us reports. And with those detailed anthropologies the party will learn constantly about all the real processes and follow Sirohi's journalism as the high take and in fact only the major take on major general strikes as always, not the real anthropology.

2020 - 24 and the Present - I imagine Sirohi in Ensemble Analysis as a Cuban master - just follow the militant intellectual and develop the thesis of Guevarism he argues somewhere - that we are intellectuals who want to unionise the people - Long Live Che - Great thesis Sirohi, I argue for ensemble analysis in Cuba.

Venceremos.